Overview of Cleanroom Software Engineering

Paul L. Jones, CDP, CSQE FDA/CDRH/OST V: (301) 443-2536 x164 Email: pxj@cdrh.fda.gov

ASQ SSIG Presentation

May 10, 2000

What is Cleanroom Software Engineering?

May 10, 2000

Why the name - "Cleanroom"?

May 10, 2000

Cleanroom SE History

Late 1970's thru Early 80's Mid 80's -

1990

Theory developed

Theory applied ARPA STARS Program selects Cleanroom SE IBM Cleanroom Software Technology Center established

Cleanroom SE History cont'd

Operations research theory applied to Usage Model

1996 Enumeration theory developed

1996 Cleanroom SE mapped to CMM

1995

Software Development Processes

- Linear sequential
- Evolutionary
- RAD
- Prototyping
- <u>Formal</u> Cleanroom SE
- 4GL

Cleanroom Software Engineering Process

- Theory based
- Team oriented

Cleanroom SE Reference Model



May 10, 2000

Cleanroom SE Reference Model



Specification Team Tasks

- Identify and record requirements
- Refine <u>requirements</u> and <u>risk assessment</u> to establish the <u>functional specification</u> thru <u>enumeration</u>
- Verify functional specification with "users"
- Define system architecture
- Develop <u>usage specification</u>

Code Development Team

- Begin with the functional (Black Box) specification and produce verified code in the language of choice
- Box structure design
 - Black Box→State Box
 - State Box \rightarrow Clear Box
 - Clear Box \rightarrow Code
- Verify steps in frequent team reviews



Requirement Example

The <u>printer driver</u> shall transfer files to a printer using XON / XOFF flow control. The printer driver is to perform as follows.

The computer sends data, one byte at a time, to the printer. Any information received from the printer is to be displayed on the screen for the user to read. Information coming from the printer takes precedence over information to be sent to the printer, so all bytes sent from the printer must be read before the next byte of data can be sent to the printer. (Information from the printer typically consists of error messages such as "no paper" or "paper jam.")

If the printer sends the special byte XOFF the computer must stop sending bytes, and wait for the printer to send a subsequent XON byte. While waiting for XON, the computer should continue displaying any messages from the printer. Once XON is received, the computer can return to sending bytes (after first checking for any messages from the printer).

May 10, 2000

Requirements tagged and reorganized

| RqtID | Requirement | Trace |
|------------------|---|-------|
| p 1 | print file | |
| | | |
| p 1.1 | printer message (PMSG) takes precedence over sending data | |
| p 1.2 | printer does not send intervening XOFF's | |
| | | |
| p 1.3 | when printer sends XOFF | |
| p 1.3.1 | computer must stop sending data | |
| p 1.3.2 | computer must wait for XON | |
| p 1.3.3 | computer must continue displaying any PMSG | |
| | | |
| p 1.4 | when printer sends XON | |
| p 1.4.1 | computer checks for PMSG | |
| p 1.4.1.1 | If PMSG | q5 |
| p 1.4.1.2 | then display PMSG on screen | |
| | else remove <u>old</u> PMSG from screen | |
| | Endif | |
| p 1.4.2 | send data from computer to printer one byte at a time | |
| p 1.4.3 | computer continues sending bytes until printer sends XOFF | |

May 10, 2000

| p 1.3.3 | computer must continue displaying any PMSG | |
|------------------|--|----|
| | | |
| p 1.4 | when printer sends XON | |
| p 1.4.1 | computer checks for PMSG | |
| p 1.4.1.1 | If PIVISG | q5 |
| p 1.4.1.2 | then display PMSG on screen | |
| | else remove <u>old</u> PMSG from screen | |
| | Endif | |
| p 1.4.2 | send data from computer to printer one byte at a time | |
| p 1.4.3 | computer continues sending bytes until printer sends XOFF | |
| p 1.4.4 | computer continues sending bytes until EOF encountered | q3 |
| | | |
| p 1.5 | printer does not send intervening XON's | |
| p 1.6 | computer can't process EOF until printer sends XON | |
| p 1.7 | when computer receives an EOF no more XON, XOFF, or PMSG's | |
| | are accepted from the printer | |
| p 1.8 | once the computer receives an EOF, subsequent EOFs are illegal | |
| | until a file is again opened. | |
| p 1.9 | when computer receives an EOF | q3 |
| p 1.9.1 | print driver stops sending data | |
| p 1.9.2 | print driver performs some "house cleaning" (close file) | |
| p 1.9.3 | print driver signals printer that file transfer is complete | |
| p 1.9.4 | print driver goes to "sleep" | |
| p 1.10 | printer goes to "sleep" when not servicing the printer | q4 |
| p 1.11 | when the print driver is "awakened" | q6 |
| p 1.11.1 | print driver checks on printer status | |
| p 1.11.2 | print driver gets file to print | |
| p 1.11.3 | print driver requests printer to begin printing | |
| | | |
| | | |
| pmsg1 | PMSG1 = no paper | |
| pmsg2 | PMSG2 = paper jam | |
| | | |

Questions & Derived Requirements

| - | |
|------------|---|
| q1 | what does the printer do when it runs out of paper? |
| q2 | what does the printer do when the paper jams? |
| qЗ | what does the printer driver do when it receives an EOF? |
| | print driver stops sending data |
| | print driver performs some "house cleaning" (close file) |
| | print driver signals printer that file transfer is complete |
| | print driver goes to "sleep" |
| q4 | what does printer driver do when not servicing the printer? |
| | print driver goes to "sleep" >> this is $\lambda \ll$ |
| q5 | what does the print driver do after checking for a PMSG? |
| - | If PIVISG |
| | then display PMSG on screen |
| | else remove old PMSG from screen |
| | Endif |
| q 6 | what does the print driver do when it is awakened from its "sleep"? >> this is I << |
| | print driver checks on printer status |
| | print driver gets file to print |
| | print driver requests printer to begin printing |

Cleanroom SE Reference Model



May 10, 2000

Increment Planning



May 10, 2000

Cleanroom SE Reference Model



May 10, 2000

Certification Team

- Compile code from developers
- Develop usage models from usage specification
- Verify the model with users
- Define test plan and validate with management
- Produce test scripts
- Run tests and compute results

Usage Modeling



| Table 2. Inputs for the X-Ray Cabinet Door Alarm | | | | |
|--|---------------|---|--|--|
| Source of Input Input | | Description | | |
| Detector | Trip (T) | Signal from detector | | |
| | Set (S) | Device activator | | |
| Human user | BadDigit (B) | Incorrect entry of a digit in the code | | |
| | Clear (C) | Clear entry | | |
| | GoodDigit (G) | A digit that is part of the three-digit deactivation code | | |

Usage Modeling



All states except "Software not invoked" and "Software terminated" also have self-loops, which represent application of all other inputs.

May 10, 2000

Statistical Testing & Certification

| Table 5. Measures of Test Sufficiency for the X-Ray Cabinet Door Alarm - no failures | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|------------------|---------------------|
| Script# | Result | D(U,T) | % States Visited | % Arcs Traversed |
| 1 | Pass | - | 60.000 | 22.581 |
| 2 | Pass | - | 100.000 | 58.065 |
| 3 | Pass | - | 100.000 | 67.742 |
| 4 | Pass | - | 100.000 | 67.743 |

Statistical Testing & Certification

| Table 8. Measures of product quality for the X-Ray Cabinet Door Alarm - onefailure | | | | | |
|--|--------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|
| Script # | Result | MTTF | Reliability | C=95% | C=99% |
| 1 | Pass | | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2 | Pass | | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 3 | Fail | 3.000 | 0.667 | 0.252 | 0.331 |
| 4 | Pass | 4.000 | 0.750 | 0.198 | 0.261 |
| 5 | Pass | 5.000 | 0.800 | 0.163 | 0.214 |
| 6 | Pass | 6.000 | 0.833 | 0.137 | 0.180 |
| 7 | Fail | 3.500 | 0.714 | 0.140 | 0.184 |
| 8 | Pass | 4.000 | 0.750 | 0.124 | 0.163 |
| 9 | Pass | 4.500 | 0.778 | 0.112 | 0.147 |

Evidence for Regulators / Auditors

- Requirements are complete, current, and detailed
- Functional specification describes every possible response of the software and is readable
- All requirements are traceable to code

Evidence for Regulators / Auditors

- All of these data are available to evaluate the quality and completeness of tests
 - Test Plan
 - Usage Model
 - Coverage Test Results
 - Statistical test evidence (reliability data)
 - Critical use test results

Success Stories

- IBM mass storage control unit adapters
- SEL at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
- U.S. Army Picatinny Arsenal

Overall Cleanroom Results

- Productivity Improvement
- Quality Improvement
- Code size reduction
- Return on Investment

200-400% 10-100:1 5:1 20:1

Adaptability

- Portions of a project
- Legacy system certification
- COTS certification
- Reverse Engineering
- Hardware test platform unavailable

OST Projects

- WRAIR infusion pump
- RTP safety model
- CBER regulatory policy model

Summary

- Formal Methods
 - Produce much better code
 - Produce much better documentation for review
 - Cost manufacturers less to build
 - Costs much less to review and approve
- Usage Model technology
 - Applies to any software
 - Can simplify the regulation of software